

PRACTICE NOTE GUIDELINES FOR LANDSLIDE RISK MANAGEMENT 2007

For comparison with tolerable risk criteria, the individual risk from all the landslide hazards affecting the person most at risk, or the property, should be summed.

The assessment must clearly state whether it pertains to 'as existing' conditions or following implementation of recommended risk mitigation measures, thereby giving the 'residual risk'.

7.2 SEMI-QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE RISK ESTIMATION FOR RISK TO PROPERTY

When considering the risk to property, it may be useful to use qualitative terms to report the results of the analysis, rather than quantitative values. The risk calculation may be completed quantitatively or by the use of qualitative terms.

A semi quantitative analysis (where the likelihood is linked to an indicative probability) or a qualitative analysis may be used:

- As an initial screening process to identify hazards and risks which require more detailed consideration and analysis.
- When the level of risk does not justify the time and effort required for more detailed analysis.
- Where the possibility of obtaining numerical data is limited such that a quantitative analysis is unlikely to be meaningful or may be misleading.

Section 7.3 describes a suitable and preferred terminology.

7.3 RISK MATRIX FOR PROPERTY LOSS

a) Adopt a defined qualitative terminology for likelihood, consequence and risk.

Qualitative terminology is presented in Appendix C for property loss. The terminology has been developed from Appendix G in AGS (2000) taking into account the experience and comments as discussed in the Commentary.

For ease of use, the frequency estimate, expressed as an annualized probability and taking into account the probability of spatial impact, is expressed qualitatively as likelihood.

The terminology is aimed primarily at residential development but may also be used for other situations. It is noted that provision of specific numerical values at the Notional Boundaries for the terms adopted does not reduce the uncertainty that may be associated with assessment of appropriate numerical values.

Where sufficient data is available, the risk should be determined from a quantitative analysis. The results can then be objectively compared, especially with quantified allowable risk criteria.

Where there is insufficient data or the study is at a walk over or preliminary design level, then use of qualitative methods or terms may be more appropriate. Use of risk ranking schemes, where component inputs are assigned relative ranks, may be suitable for initial screening. In other cases, it is likely that expression of the likelihood, consequence and risk using qualitative terms is preferable for communication purposes; (for example using terminology as in Appendix C). Selection of the appropriate term should be based on an appropriate evaluation of likelihood or consequence ranges.

Semi-quantitative methods may be a combination of both, for example considering risk to property qualitatively, and risk to life quantitatively based on the appropriate best estimates of likelihood.

b) The practitioner should adopt the preferred risk matrix presented in Appendix C.

The terminology presented in Appendix C of this Practice Note has addressed the shortcomings identified with the scheme in Appendix G AGS (2000). Appendix G of AGS (2000) is now superseded and should no longer be used. Adoption of Appendix C as a preferred risk matrix will assist with uniformity of assessment and interpretation. This is discussed further in the Commentary.

The regulator should only accept non standard schemes where the terms have been clearly defined, the terms have been explained in relation to the preferred terminology, and it can be reasonably demonstrated by the practitioner that the alternative is better suited to the particular circumstances of the assessment.

7.4 ESTIMATION OF RISK OF LOSS OF LIFE

a) Estimate the risk of loss of life quantitatively for the person most at risk.

The annual probability of loss of life for the person most at risk from the landslide(s) should be estimated using the equations in Section 7.1. The person most at risk will often but not always be the person with the greatest spatial temporal probability.