

PRACTICE NOTE GUIDELINES FOR LANDSLIDE RISK MANAGEMENT 2007

3.7 ROLE AND RESPONSIBILITY OF THE PRACTITIONER

The practitioner has the role of providing technical input in relation to the specialized aspect of LRM. Such input will be subject to the specific requirements of any policy instituted by the regulator. The regulator may require specific levels of qualification and competence of practitioners providing the regulator with advice in relation to compliance with the risk acceptance criteria.

The qualifications and experience of suitable practitioners are as discussed in Paragraph 3.3.2.

It is the responsibility of the practitioner to carry out LRM assessments in accordance with this Practice Note and within the requirements of his/her professional Code of Ethics. The practitioner must provide advice to the client and regulator in an unbiased manner.

PART C GUIDELINES FOR PRACTITIONERS

4 SCOPE DEFINITION

Establish the purpose and scope of the risk assessment study.

The practitioner needs to take into account the initial brief from the client and the requirements of the regulator. Usually these will be sufficient for the practitioner to decide on the appropriate scope and level of the study which should then be advised to the client as a “reverse brief”. In the LRM process, the practitioner will have a role to advise the client as to how the landslide risk can be reduced, avoided or otherwise controlled including options or alternatives.

5 HAZARD ANALYSIS

5.1 DATA GATHERING / DESK STUDY

Assemble relevant data and record their sources.

Often there is a body of local experience which becomes invaluable for the assessment process. Such experience includes published papers, geological maps, aerial photographs and general studies such as Hazard Zoning studies completed for the regulator. Local experience can include previous assessments and knowledge of problematic areas which should be available from the regulator’s landslide inventory. Practitioners new to an area should discuss with locals their knowledge and experience.

Preferred data for the assessment will include site specific data, such as survey plan showing existing features, spot heights, contours and location and nature of services. Initial design proposals are required so that the risk assessment may be completed and appropriate risk control measures specified. (It is a necessary requirement in the performance of a risk assessment for there to be an element at risk, hence the need for a preliminary design or for an assumed development which should be defined in the LRM report).

5.2 FIELD INVESTIGATION REQUIREMENTS

5.2.1 Complete investigations sufficient to establish a geotechnical model, identify geomorphic processes and associated process rates.

The investigation may involve a number of methods and may be completed in stages, with each stage sufficiently detailed to provide a model appropriate to the level of study being undertaken. Further discussion is given in the Commentary.

5.2.2 Inspect the site and surrounds including field mapping of the geomorphic features.

This must be completed by the practitioner for every assessment. The field mapping is to document the observations and to enable formulation of the geotechnical model.

Mapping should be completed to scale on an available survey plan and must include the surrounds (above, below and adjacent) to the site as appropriate to define the landslides and the geotechnical model.

Where a survey plan is not available, then simple survey using hand held tape and clinometer methods should be used to draw up a plan, to scale, using standard mapping symbols and terminology to represent the geological and geomorphic features. (Examples of geological and geomorphic mapping symbols are presented in Appendix E.)

5.2.3 Determine the subsurface profile from exposures or subsurface investigation such as by boreholes and/or test pits.

This is necessary as part of the geotechnical model. Often exposures or knowledge from a nearby site may be sufficient.

Where such data is not available or not appropriate, subsurface investigation is required to enable formulation of the model and must include determination of the depth to rock or to below the depth of potential failure surfaces if this is greater.

PRACTICE NOTE GUIDELINES FOR LANDSLIDE RISK MANAGEMENT 2007

Where pre-existing landslides are expected or suspected, then where practical, use should be made of either test pits (to enable sufficient sample/material to be seen for identification of shear planes or other relevant structure) or boreholes (with appropriate sampling and installation of inclinometers for monitoring for evidence of movements).

5.2.4 Assess likely groundwater levels and responses to trigger rainfall events.

Consideration of the likely ground water response will enable assessment of response to rainfall trigger events. Use may be made of experience in the area, as observation of site specific data will frequently require prolonged periods of monitoring to enable formulation of a groundwater response model taking into account the statistical significance of rainfall events during the monitoring period. For relatively straightforward projects with low to moderate risks, a basic qualitative estimate of groundwater levels and responses may be appropriate when there is a lack of data. However, other more complicated projects, or where risk levels are higher, will require a greater level of understanding of groundwater levels and responses.

For more detailed analysis, particularly of possible stabilisation measures by subsurface drainage, observation of groundwater levels and their response to significant rainfall events is advisable to enable subsequent assessment of the effectiveness of subsurface drainage measures. Careful consideration must be given to the location of piezometers and their construction details.

5.2.5 Prepare a cross section drawing (to scale) through selected parts of the site to demonstrate the geotechnical model of site conditions and on which landslides may be identified.

The resulting geotechnical model should integrate all the data obtained from the mapping and investigations.

The section should demonstrate the likely variation in subsurface conditions on the section including groundwater levels. On large or complex sites, more than one section may be required. All sections are to be drawn to natural scale. If exaggerated vertical scale is required for clarity, then a summary section at natural scale should also be included.

Adequate investigation has been completed when the geotechnical model is sufficiently defined to understand the slope forming processes relevant to the site and surrounds, the form and extent of landslides, likely triggers for the landslides and process rates associated with the landslides. The report should include explanation of uncertainties associated with the model.

5.2.6 Take into account slope forming process rates associated with the geotechnical model and landslides.

An understanding of the slope forming process relevant to the landslides and associated process rate is fundamental for evaluation of likelihood.

5.2.7 Identify landslides types/locations appropriate to the geotechnical model based on local experience and general experience in similar circumstances.

The types of landslides will be dependent on the geotechnical model and to some extent on the nature of existing and/or proposed development. The expected characteristics of the landslides (such as the size, type of material involved, rate of failure and travel distance) need to be assessed. The range of landslide sizes can vary from the very large landslides, which may encompass a whole hillside or region, to a small site specific landslide. The model should include assessment of the fundamental cause as well as likely trigger events. The report must document the hazard assessment which will include the estimated likelihood for each landslide type.

The hazard assessment must address areas upslope from the site, downslope from the site and across the slope adjacent to the site where these may affect the site.

5.2.8 If required, further detailed investigations should be completed to better define the model, the landslides, the triggers, the frequency (likelihood) or design of stabilisation measures to control the risk.

Such additional investigation is most likely to be required on sites where the risk is judged to be intolerable and/or where further input is required to resolve uncertainties.

5.3 LANDSLIDE CHARACTERISATION

Characterise the landslides based on the desk study and field investigations. Use Appendix B for terminology to describe the landslides.

The characterization should include the classification, volume, location and potential travel distance of all landslides which may occur on the site or travel on to or regress into the site.

5.4 FREQUENCY ANALYSIS

5.4.1 Techniques for Frequency Analysis

a) Adopt a frequency analysis technique appropriate to the level of study and complexity of the geotechnical model and slope forming process.

The appropriate technique may change with different levels of study, or for different stages of a project, or with the project brief and available budget. For example, techniques and level of detail may be different for: